

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

Civil Revision No.4725 of 2006.

Date of decision:15.1.2007.

Sishpal Singh.

...Petitioner.

Versus

The Executive Engineer and another.

...Respondents.

...

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. N. Aggarwal.

...

Present: Mr.N.K.Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

**Mr.Suresh Monga,Senior DAG Haryana for the
respondents.**

...

Judgment.

S. N. Aggarwal, J.

The petitioner is the workman before the learned Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak. He filed an application for permission to examine Document Expert for getting compared his signatures on the bills/receipts of water supply for the period from 2/96 to 9/96.

This application was contested by the respondents on the plea that neither the bills nor receipts bear the signatures of the petitioner and only bear his initials. Name of the person is not mentioned in those bills/receipts. It was also submitted that no

reference to these bills/receipts was made in the demand notice or in the claim statement.

The learned trial Court vide impugned order dated 6.10.2005 dismissed the application.

Hence, the present petition.

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner was that he only wants to examine Document Expert at his own expense and, therefore, he may be permitted to do so.

This submission has been considered. These bills/receipts do not carry the signatures of the petitioner. These bills carry only small initials. The name of the petitioner is not mentioned on those bills/receipts. Therefore, the learned trial Court rightly dismissed the application for permitting the petitioner to examine Document Expert.

There is no merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

January 15, 2007.
Jaggi

(S. N. Aggarwal)
Judge